

REMARKS

The Examiner's consideration and reconsideration of this application is sincerely appreciated.

Claim 9 has been amended so as to claim subject matter different from claim 8 and thus the objection made to claims 8 and 9 as claiming the same subject matter has been rectified.

The rejections made to claims 10-22 have also been rectified. Claim 10 has been amended to delete the reference at line 10 thereof to the first and second electrodes. This obviates the lack of antecedent basis concern which was part of the reason for the §112¶2 rejection. The other objection on the basis of such statute was a concern expressed that at line 11 of claim 10 there was uncertainty about double inclusion. The deletion of the language at line 10 of claim 10 also fully addresses this concern. Accordingly, claim 10 has been amended in such a manner that the grounds for rejection have all been addressed.

Dependent claims 11-19 were also rejected for the reasons stated with regard to claim 10. Since main claim 10 has been rectified and there are no other bases stated for objection to or rejection of dependent claims 11-19, then claims 10-19 are all believed to be in full condition for allowance and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Claim 20 was rejected for the same reasons as claim 10. The same amendments made to claim 10 have similarly been made to claim 20. Accordingly, claim 20 has been rectified and is believed to be in full condition for allowance. Dependent claims 21-22 did not have rejections other than the rejections made to main claim 20 from which they

depend. Since claim 20 has been rectified, claims 21 and 22 have also been rectified in the same manner. Claims 20-22 are now believed to be in full condition for allowance.

Claims 1-9 and 23-46 were previously allowed. Claim 31 has been amended so that it is not of the same scope as main claim 29 from which it depends. Previously claim 31 purported to add a limitation the same as already included in the language of claim 29.

In view of the above it is believed that all claims of this application are now in full condition for allowance. Favorable action allowing this application is appropriate and respectfully requested.

Date: Dec. 30, 2005

Respectfully Submitted,


Randy A. Gregory, Reg. No. 30,386